



ATLoT Prague: 2<sup>nd</sup> AESOP  
Transport Laboratory of Thought  
17-20 July 2015, Vila Lanna, Prague

## **LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

*Anders Larsson*, University of Göteborg, anders.larsson@geography.gu.se

*Anssi Joutsiniemi*, Tampere University of Technology, anssi.joutsiniemi@tut.fi

*Carey Curtis*, Curtin University (Perth), c.curtis@curtin.edu.au

*Cecília Silva*, University of Porto, ccsilva@fe.up.pt

*Gennaro Angiello*, University of Napoli Federico II, gennaro.angiello@unina.it

*Enrica Papa*, Gent University, enrica.papa@ugent.be

*Jan Scheurer*, RMIT Europe (Barcelona), jan.scheurer@rmit.edu.au

*John Stone*, University of Melbourne, stoneja@unimelb.edu.au

*Morten Skou Nicolaisen*, Aalborg University, mortenn@plan.aau.dk

## **ORGANIZATION**

*Morten Skou Nicolaisen*



ATLoT Prague: 2<sup>nd</sup> AESOP  
Transport Laboratory of Thought  
17-20 July 2015, Vila Lanna, Prague

## **CALL FOR PARTICIPATION**

The AESOP Transport Laboratory of Thought (ATLoT) retreats serve as a forum to facilitate an informal exchange of emerging ideas in transport, mobility and accessibility research. Following the success of the 1<sup>st</sup> ATLoT in Hostalric, January 2015 we now invite you to join our next event in Prague immediately after the 2015 AESOP congress. The focus in Prague will be to follow up on the ideas and research proposals from Hostalric for the 2015 rounds of research grant applications. However, we will also devote part of the event specifically to the development of new ideas and advise attendants to a short presentation (less than 3 minutes) of any new research ideas they wish to introduce.

## **PROGRAMME**

### **Friday 17<sup>th</sup> July**

We spend the first day following up on the research ideas and specific proposals from Hostalric.

Any related or new ideas for research projects can be introduced during an ‘open thoughts’ section, where attendees are encouraged to bring either posters, props or a small (1 slide/picture) illustration of their idea.

### **Saturday 18<sup>th</sup> July**

The second day is dedicated to work on individual ideas and projects in smaller groups. The focus here is to have time for serious preparation of project applications that are already mature and where specific funding sources have been identified.

### **Sunday 19<sup>th</sup> July:**

Half of the third day is dedicated to work further on applications and wrap up the work of individual groups. After this, we will have a joint discussion of the progress of each group, planning of the next ATLoT and work to be done in preparation of it.



## Friday 17<sup>th</sup> July

The first day started with participants' self-presentations. After the round of self-presentations, a draft of the *HORIZON 2020 WORK PROGRAMME 2016 – 2017* was shared among the ATLoT participants. Based on this document, eight calls (see tab.1) within the *Mobility for Growth* framework were analyzed and discussed.

Id	Title	Type*	Dates	
			First stage	Second stage
MG-4.1-2017	Increasing the take up and scale-up of innovative solutions to achieve sustainable mobility in urban areas	I	26/01/2017	19/10/2017
MG-4.4-2016	Facilitating public procurement of innovative sustainable transport and mobility solutions in urban areas	C&S	Single stage 26/01/2016	
MG-4.5-2016	New ways of supporting development and implementation of neighborhood-level and urban-district-level transport innovations	R&I	20/01/2016	29/09/2016
MG-6.1-2016	Innovative concepts, systems and services towards 'mobility as a service'	R&I	20/01/2016	29/09/2016
MG-8.2-2017	Big data in Transport: Research opportunities, challenges and limitations	C&S	Single stage 02/02/2017	
MG-8.3-2016	Assessing future requirements for skills and jobs across transport modes and systems	R&I	Single stage 26/01/2016	
MG-8.4-2017	Improving accessibility, inclusive mobility and equity: new tools and business models for public transport in prioritized areas	R&I	Single stage 02/02/2017	
MG-8.5-2017	Shifting paradigms: Exploring the dynamics of individual preferences, behaviors and lifestyles influencing travel and mobility choices	C&S	Single stage 02/02/2017	

Table 1 - Horizon 2020 calls

\* I= Innovation, C&S Coordination and Support, R&I= Research and Innovation

The main objective of this stage was to identify specific calls in which to participate in, considering participants' research interests, areas of specialization and backgrounds. To this aim, the group of participants brainstormed the list of possible calls and ranked them in order of importance. According to the results of this discussion, the calls MG-4.1-2017, MG-4.5-2016, MG-6.1-2017 were identified as the most suitable for application.



In addition, three calls were not seen as main priorities due to some ambiguity regarding their thematic delimitations. These will be further investigated to figure out if the objectives of the call are in line with the group's interests and capacity. These are: MG-8.3-2016, MG-8.2-2017, and MG-4.1-2017.

## **Saturday 18<sup>th</sup> July**

The focus of this day was to follow up on the ideas and research proposals from the Hostalric meeting. It was also an occasion to discuss other research funding programmes/research initiatives, including:

- The Joint Programming Initiative (<http://jpi.eu>) and in particular the JPI Urban Europe (<http://jpi-urbaneurope.eu/>);
- The Urban Europe Research Alliance (<http://jpi-urbaneurope.eu/activities/uera/>)

Furthermore, two different ideas for two COST Action's proposals were also discussed:

- The first one was about accessibility and how researchers, practitioners and people intend/perceive it across Europe;
- The second one was about the concept of Level of Service (LOS) as a framework to integrate land use and transportation planning; an operational LOS standard that focuses on access instead of traffic.

Two smaller groups of participants further elaborated these two ideas.

## **Sunday 19<sup>th</sup> July**

Sunday was mainly spent by the participants to follow up on ongoing research projects. This was largely a result of a fairly intense week with 5 days of conference and 2 days of workshops that meant people needed to follow up on projects back home or consolidate work with other participants while we were gathered. As a result, people split into individual groups. These groups further developed the ideas discussed during the first day.